arXiv:1612.00431v1 [math.FA] 1 Dec 2016

Properties of J-fusion frames in Krein space

Shibashis Karmakar
Department of Mathematics
Jadavpur University, Jadavpur-32
West Bengal, India.
email : shibashiskarmakar@gmail.com

Sk. Monowar Hossein
Department of Mathematics
Aliah University, IIA/27 New Town, Kolkata-156
West Bengal, India.
email : sami_milu@yahoo.co.uk

Kallol Paul
Department of Mathematics
Jadavpur University, Jadavpur-32
West Bengal, India.
email : kalloldada@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper we characterize $\sqrt{2}$-1-uniform J-Parseval fusion frames in a Krein space $\mathbb{K}$. We provide a few results regarding construction of new J-tight fusion frame from given J-tight fusion frames. We also characterize any uniformly J-definite subspace of a Krein space $\mathbb{K}$ in terms of a J-fusion frame inequality. Finally we generalize the fundamental identity of frames in Krein space J-fusion frame setting.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C15, 46C05, 46C20.
Keywords: Krein Space, J-orthogonal projection, reduced minimum modulus, Gramian operator.

1 Introduction

Frames for Hilbert space is a well known concept. The theory was introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer [1] in the year 1952. Now frame theory has applications in almost every areas of applied mathematics. With the development of Hilbert space frame theory and its continuous application in Data transmission, Networking and Signal Processing some emerging research areas created. Filter bank theory, packet-based communication system are some

of the examples of new research areas in Frame theory. But to study these areas we need distributed processing such as sensor networks [35]. To tackle this problem frames were build "locally" and then glued together to obtain frames for the whole space. A beautiful approach was introduced in [3] that gives a general method for adding together local frames to get global frames. This powerful method was introduced by Casazza and Kutyniok in [3] which they called Frames of subspaces. Later they agree on a terminology of Fusion frames. This concept provides a useful framework in modeling sensor networks [19]. Different aspects and applications of fusion frame can be seen in [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20].

Since fusion frame in Hilbert space has such a huge application so it is a natural demand to extend these ideas in Krein space frame theory. Some work already had been done in this direction [22, 23, 24]. Krein space has some interesting application in modern analysis. Some known areas of application are in High energy physics, Quantam cosmology, Krein space filtering etc. The theory of frames in Krein space can be found in [28, 29, 30, 37, 38]. P. Acosta-Humánez [31] defined fusion frames in Krein spaces. In their work they found a correspondence between fusion frames in Hilbert spaces and fusion frames in Krein spaces. But their definition involves fundamental symmetry in Krein space which is not unique. In [39] we defined fusion frame in Krein spaces in a more geometric setting motivated by the work of Giribet et al. [29], and we called them J-fusion frames.

In this paper we are interested to investigate the properties of J-fusion frame in Krein space frame theory.

2 Preliminary Notes

In this section we briefly recall some basic notations, definitions and some important properties useful for our further study. For more detailed information we refer the following references [28, 37, 29, 3, 4, 18, 20, 38].

Let π_M be the orthogonal projection from the Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ onto the subspace M of $\mathbb{H}$. Then the range space of the projection is M *i.e.* $R(\pi_M) = M$ and the null space of this orthogonal projection is $M^\perp$ *i.e.* $N(\pi_M) = M^\perp$.

Definition 2.1. Let I be some index set and $\{W_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of closed subspaces in $\mathbb{H}$. Also let $\{v_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of weights *i.e.* $v_i > 0\ \forall i \in I$. Then $\{(W_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ is a fusion frame if there exist constants $0 < C \leq D < \infty$ such that

$$C\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i\in I} v_i^2 |[\pi_{W_i} f, f]| \leq D\|f\|^2 \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathbb{H} \tag{2.1}$$

C and D are known as lower and upper bounds respectively for the fusion frame. If $C = D$ then the fusion frame is known as C-tight fusion frame and if

$C = D = 1$ then the fusion frame is known as Parseval fusion frame. Moreover, a fusion frame is called v-uniform, if $v := v_i = v_j$ for all $i,\ j \in I$. The family of subspaces $\{W_i : i \in I\}$ is an orthonormal basis of subspaces if $\mathbb{H} = \oplus_{i\in I} W_i$.

Let π_M be an orthogonal projection in a Krein space $\mathbb{K}$ onto M. Then $\pi_M^2 = \pi_M$ and $\pi_M^* = \pi_M$. Here range of the projection *i.e.* $R(\pi_M) = M$ and Null space of π_M *i.e.* $N(\pi_M) = M^\perp$. Let Q_M be the J-orthogonal projection onto M. But the J-orthogonal projection Q_M exists if M is a projectively complete subspace of $\mathbb{K}$. So in general we do not have any relation between π_M and Q_M. Let $\pi_M^\#$ be the J-adjoint of π_M. Then we have $\pi_M^\# = J\pi_M J$. Also $\pi_{JM} = J\pi_M J$. Hence $\pi_{JM} = \pi_M^\#$.

Let $\{W_i : i \in I\}$ be a collection of non-indefinite subspaces of the Krein space $\mathbb{K}$. We consider the space $\big(\sum_{i\in I} \oplus W_i\big)$. Then if $f \in \big(\sum_{i\in I} \oplus W_i\big)$ then $f = \{f_i\}_{i\in I}$, where $f_i \in W_i$ for each $i \in I$. Let $I_+ = \{i \in I : [f_i, f_i] \geq 0 \text{ for all } f_i \in W_i\}$ and $I_- = \{i \in I : [f_i, f_i] < 0 \text{ for all } f_i \in W_i\}$. We define $[f, g] = \sum_{i\in I}[f_i, g_i]$, where $f, g \in \big(\sum_{i\in I} \oplus W_i\big)$. If the series is unconditionally convergent then $[\cdot, \cdot]$ defines an inner product on $\big(\sum_{i\in I} \oplus W_i\big)$.

2.1 Definition of J-fusion frame

Let I be some index set and let $\{v_i : i \in I\}$ be a family weights *i.e.* $v_i > 0\ \forall\, i \in I$. Let $\{W_i : i \in I\}$ be a Bessel family of closed non-indefinite subspaces of a Krein space $\mathbb{K}$ with synthesis operator $T_{W,v} \in L\Big(\big(\sum_{i\in I} \oplus W_i\big)_{\ell_2}, \mathbb{K}\Big)$. Let $I_+ = \{i \in I : [f_i, f_i] \geq 0 \text{ for all } f_i \in W_i\}$ and $I_- = \{i \in I : [f_i, f_i] < 0 \text{ for all } f_i \in W_i\}$. Now consider the orthogonal decomposition of $\big(\sum_{i\in I} \oplus W_i\big)_{\ell_2}$ given by

$$\big(\sum_{i\in I} \oplus W_i\big)_{\ell_2} = \big(\sum_{i\in I_+} \oplus W_i\big)_{\ell_2} \bigoplus \big(\sum_{i\in I_-} \oplus W_i\big)_{\ell_2},$$

and denote by $P_\pm$ the orthogonal projection onto $(\sum_{i\in I_\pm} \oplus W_i)_{\ell_2}$. Also, let $T_{W,v_\pm} = T_{W,v}P_\pm$. If $M_\pm = \overline{\sum_{i\in I_\pm} W_i}$, notice that $\sum_{i\in I_\pm} W_i \subseteq R(T_{W,v_\pm}) \subseteq M_\pm$ and

$$R(T_{W,v}) = R(T_{W,v_+}) + R(T_{W,v_-})$$

The Bessel family $\mathbb{F} = \{(W_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ is a J-fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$ if $R(T_{W,v_+})$ is a maximal uniformly J-positive subspace of $\mathbb{K}$ and $R(T_{W,v_-})$ is a maximal uniformly J-negative subspace of $\mathbb{K}$.

Let $\{(W_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ be a J-fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$ then $\big(\sum_{i\in I} \oplus W_i, [\cdot, \cdot]\big)$ is a Krein space. The fundamental symmetry let J_2 is defined by $J_2(f) = \{f_i : i \in I_+\} \cup \{-f_i : i \in I_-\}$ for all f. Also $[f, g]_{J_2} = \sum_{i\in I_+}[f_i, g_i] - \sum_{i\in I_-}[f_i, g_i]$. Now consider the space $\big(\sum_{i\in I} \oplus W_i\big)_{\ell_2} = \Big\{f : \big(\sum_{i\in I} \oplus W_i\big) : \sum_{i\in I} \|f_i\|_J^2 < \infty\Big\}$. We will use this space frequently in our work.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathbb{F} = \{(W_i, v_i)\}_{i\in I}$ be a J-fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$. Then $\mathbb{F}_\pm = \{(W_i, v_i)\}_{i\in I_\pm}$ is fusion frame for the Hilbert space $(M_\pm, \pm[\cdot,\cdot])$ i.e. there exists constants $B_- \leq A_- < 0 < A_+ \leq B_+$ such that*

$$A_\pm[f,f] \leq \sum_{i\in I_\pm} v_i^2 |[\pi_{W_i}|_{M_\pm}(f), f]| \leq B_\pm[f,f] \text{ for every } f \in M_\pm \tag{2.2}$$

Theorem 2.3. *For each $i \in I$, let $v_i > 0$ and $\{W_i : i \in I\}$ be a collection of definite subspaces also let $\{f_{ij}\}_{j\in J_i}$ be a J-frame sequence in $\mathbb{K}$. Define $W_i = \overline{span}_{j\in J_i}\{f_{ij}\}$ for all $i \in I$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) $\{v_i f_{ij}\}_{i\in I, j\in J_i}$ is a J-frame for $\mathbb{K}$.
(ii) $\{(W_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ is a J-fusion frame of subspaces for $\mathbb{K}$.

Now let $\mathbb{F} = \{(W_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ be a J-fusion frame for the Krein space $\mathbb{K}$. Then $\{W_i : i \in I_+\}$ is a collection of uniformly J-positive subspaces of $\mathbb{K}$ and $\{W_i : i \in I_-\}$ is a collection of uniformly J-negative subspaces of $\mathbb{K}$. Let $T^{\#}_{W,v}$ be the J-adjoint operator of the synthesis operator $T_{W,v}$. $T^{\#}_{W,v}$ is called the analysis operator of the J-frame of subspaces F. Now $T^{\#}_{W,v} = (T^{\#}_{W,v_+} + T^{\#}_{W,v_-})$. Also we have $N(T^{\#}_{W,v_+})^{[\perp]} = R(T_{W,v_+}) = M_+$. $T^{\#}_{W,v_+}(f) = \{v_i \pi_{JW_i}(f)\}_{i\in I_+}$ for all $f \in \mathbb{K}$. $T^{\#}_{W,v_-}(f) = -\{v_i \pi_{JW_i}(f)\}_{i\in I_-}$ for all $f \in \mathbb{K}$. So $T^{\#}_{W,v}(f) = \{\sigma_i v_i \pi_{JW_i}(f)\}_{i\in I}$ for all $f \in \mathbb{K}$. Also $T^{\#}_{W,v_+}(f) = \{v_i \pi_{W_i}(f)\}_{i\in I_+}$ for all $f \in M_+$. Here $\sigma_i = 1$ if $i \in I_+$ and $\sigma_i = -1$ if $i \in I_-$.

Definition 2.4. The linear operator $S_{W,v} : \mathbb{K} \to \mathbb{K}$ defined by $S_{W,v}(f) = \sum_{i\in I} \sigma_i v_i^2 \pi_{J(W_i)}(f)$ is said to be the J-fusion frame operator for the J-fusion frame $\{(W_i, v_i\}_{i\in I}$.

Theorem 2.5. *If $\{(W_i, v_i)\}_{i\in I}$ is a J-fusion frame for the Krein space $\mathbb{K}$ wth synthesis operator $T_{W,v} \in L\Big(\big(\sum_{i\in I} \oplus W_i\big)_{\ell_2}, \mathbb{K}\Big)$ then the J-frame operator $S_{W,v}$ is bijective and J-selfadjoint.*

Theorem 2.6. *If $\{(W_i, v_i)\}_{i\in I}$ is a J-fusion frame for the Krein space $\mathbb{K}$ with J-fusion frame operator $S_{W,v}$, then $\{(S^{-1}_{W,v}(W_i), v_i)\}_{i\in I}$ is a J-fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$ with J-fusion frame operator $S^{-1}_{W,v}$.*

3 Main Results

The idea of Tight/Parseval frames has many important applications in Hilbert space frame theory as well as in Krein space frame theory. In finite dimensional cases the concept has some beautiful geometry. So in this section we are

motivated to define Tight J-fusion frames in Krein space and further we will study some important properties derived from the definition.

From the definition 2.2 we know that every J-fusion frame in $\mathbb{K}$ decomposes the Krein space into two parts. The positive part M_+ is the maximal uniformly J-positive subspace and the negative part M_- is the maximal uniformly J-negative subspaces. Now the cone of neutral vectors in $\mathbb{K}$ is denoted by $\mathtt{C}$ and defined by $\mathtt{C} = \{n \in \mathbb{K} : [n, n] = 0\}$. In [29] we have a concept of angle between any uniformly J-definite subspace of $\mathbb{K}$ and $\mathtt{C}$. Using the results of [29], we have the following two equations. $c_0(M_+, \mathtt{C}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sqrt{\frac{1+\alpha^+}{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{1-\alpha^+}{2}})$ and $c_0(M_-, \mathtt{C}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sqrt{\frac{1+\beta^+}{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{1-\beta^+}{2}})$, where $\alpha^+ = \gamma(G_{M_+})$ and $\beta^+ = \gamma(G_{M_-})$.

Now every J-fusion frame is associated with a positive real numbers ζ, where $\zeta = c_0(M_+, \mathtt{C}) + c_0(M_-, \mathtt{C})$. We also have $\zeta \in [\sqrt{2}, 2)$. We will use the real number ζ (associated with a J-frame for $\mathbb{K}$) extensively in our work and instead of the term J-fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$ we will use the term $\zeta - J$-fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$.

Definition 3.1. Let $(\mathbb{K}, [\cdot, \cdot], J)$ be a Krein space and $\mathbb{F} = \{(W_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ be a J-fusion frame for the Krein space $\mathbb{K}$. Then $\mathbb{F}$ is said to be a $\zeta - J$-tight fusion frame iff

$$A_\pm[f, f] = \sum_{i \in I_\pm} v_i^2 |[\pi_{W_i}|_{M_\pm}(f), f]|, \qquad \text{for all } f \in M_\pm \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover, $\mathbb{F}$ is said to be a $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frame if it is a $\zeta - J$-tight frame for the Krein space $\mathbb{K}$ and in addition $A_\pm = \pm 1$.

Example 3.2. Consider the Vector space $\ell_2(\mathbb{R})$. Let us define a inner product on $\ell_2(\mathbb{R})$ by $[x, y] = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 - x_3y_3 + x_4y_4 + \ldots$ when $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \ldots), y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, \ldots)$ and $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the subspaces $W_1 = span\{(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \ldots)\}$, $W_2 = span\{(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \ldots)\}$, $W_3 = span\{(0, 1, 0, 0, \ldots)\}$, $W_4 = span\{(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}}, \ldots)\}$. Let $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}$ and $v_4 = 1$. Then $\big\{(W_i, v_i) : i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\big\}$ is a J-fusion frame for the above Krein space $\ell_2(\mathbb{R})$. It is also a J-Parseval fusion frame with $\zeta = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}}$. By numerical calculation we have $\alpha^+ = 1$ and $\beta^+ = \frac{1}{2}$.

3.1 Some results on J-tight fusion frames

In this section we will define J-orthonormal basis of subspaces for a Krein space $\mathbb{K}$. We will also find a relation between J-orthonormal basis of subspaces and 1-uniform J-parseval fusion frames in $\mathbb{K}$.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathbb{F} = \{W_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of closed non-indefinite subspaces of a Krein space $\mathbb{K}$. Then the family is said to be an J-orthonormal basis of subspaces if $\mathbb{K}^+ = \dot{\oplus}_{i\in I_+} W_i$ and $\mathbb{K}^- = \dot{\oplus}_{i\in I_-} W_i$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}^+[\dot{\oplus}]\mathbb{K}^-$ is the cannonical decomposition of the Krein space.

Consider $\sqrt{2}-1-$ uniform J-Parseval fusion frames for a Krein space $\mathbb{K}$. Our next theorem will establish a relation between $\sqrt{2}-1-$uniform J-Parseval fusion frames and J-orthonormal basis of subspaces for a given Krein space.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a Krein space. Then any $\zeta - J$-fusion frame is a J-orthonormal basis of subspaces for $\mathbb{K}$ iff it is a $\sqrt{2}-1-$ uniform J-Parseval fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$.*

Proof. Let $\{W_i : i \in I\}$ be a J-orthonormal basis of subspaces in $\mathbb{K}$. So let $I_+ = \{i \in I : [f_i, f_i] > 0 \text{ for all } f_i \in W_i\}$ and $I_- = \{i \in I : [f_i, f_i] < 0 \text{ for all } f_i \in W_i\}$. Then $\mathbb{K}^+ = \dot{\oplus}_{i\in I_+} W_i$ and $\mathbb{K}^- = \dot{\oplus}_{i\in I_-} W_i$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}^+[\dot{\oplus}]\mathbb{K}^-$. Now $(\mathbb{K}^+, [\cdot,\cdot])$ is a Hilbert space and $\gamma(G_{\mathbb{K}^+}) = 1$. Hence $c_0(\mathbb{K}^+, \mathtt{C}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. By similar arguments we have $c_0(\mathbb{K}^-, \mathtt{C}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Hence $\zeta = \sqrt{2}$. Also it is easy to see that $\{W_i : i \in I_+\}$ is an orthonormal basis of subspaces in $\mathbb{K}^+$. Hence it is a 1-uniform Parseval frame of subspaces in $\mathbb{K}^+$. So, $\{W_i : i \in I_+\} \cup \{W_i : i \in I_-\} = \{W_i : i \in I\}$ is a $\sqrt{2}-1-$ uniform J-Parseval fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$.

Conversely, let $\{W_i : i \in I\}$ be a $\sqrt{2}-1-$uniform J-Parseval fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$. So let $I_+ = \{i \in I : [f_i, f_i] > 0 \text{ for all } f_i \in W_i\}$ and $I_- = \{i \in I : [f_i, f_i] < 0 \text{ for all } f_i \in W_i\}$. Consider $M_\pm = \overline{\sum_{i\in I_\pm} W_i}$. Now it is clear that $\{W_i : i \in I_+\}$ is a 1-uniform Parseval fusion frame for $(M_+, [\cdot,\cdot])$ and $\{W_i : i \in I_-\}$ is a 1-uniform Parseval fusion frame for $(M_-, -[\cdot,\cdot])$. Hence from [3] we know that $\{W_i : i \in I_+\}$ is an orthonormal basis of subspaces for $(M_+, [\ .\ ])$ and $\{W_i : i \in I_-\}$ is an orthonormal basis of subspaces for $(M_-, -[\cdot,\cdot])$. Again we have $c_0(M_+, \mathtt{C}) + c_0(M_-, \mathtt{C}) = \sqrt{2}$, which implies that $c_0(M_+, \mathtt{C}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $c_0(M_-, \mathtt{C}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Also by some simple numerical calculation we have $\gamma(G_{M_+}) = 1$ and also $\gamma(G_{M_-}) = 1$. Using all the above results we conclude that $\mathbb{K} = M_+[\dot{\oplus}]M_-$. Hence the proof. □

Let $\mathbb{F} = \{W_i : i \in I\}$ be a sequence of non-neutral definite subspaces in a Krein space $(\mathbb{K}, [\cdot,\cdot], J)$. Consider $M_+ = \overline{\sum_{i\in I_+} W_i}$ and $M_- = \overline{\sum_{i\in I_-} W_i}$, where $I_+ = \{i \in I : [f_i, f_i] > 0 \text{ for all } f_i \in W_i\}$ and $I_- = \{i \in I : [f_i, f_i] < 0 \text{ for all } f_i \in W_i\}$. Now if M_+ is a maximal uniformly J-positive subspace of $\mathbb{K}$ and M_- is a maximal uniformly J-negative subspace of $\mathbb{K}$. Then $\{W_i : i \in I_+\}$ and $\{W_i : i \in I_-\}$ will be fusion frames for $(M_+, [\cdot,\cdot])$ and $(M_-, -[\cdot,\cdot])$ respectively. Let T_{W,v_1} be the synthesis operator for the fusion frame $\{W_i : i \in I_+\}$ and T_{W,v_2} be the synthesis operator for the frame $\{W_i : i \in I_-\}$. Let T_{W,v_1}^* and T_{W,v_2}^* are adjoint operators of T_{W,v_1} and T_{W,v_2} respectively.

Definition 3.5. Let $(\mathbb{K}, [\cdot,\cdot], J)$ be a Krein space. A collection of subspaces $\mathbb{F} = \{W_i : i \in I\}$ is said to be a disjoint sequence of subspaces in $\mathbb{K}$ if $\overline{\sum_{i\in I_+} W_i} \cap \overline{\sum_{i\in I_-} W_i} = \{0\}$.

Example 3.6. Every $\zeta - J$-fusion frame in a Krein space $\mathbb{K}$ is a disjoint sequence of $\mathbb{K}$.

Definition 3.7. Let $\mathbb{F} = \{W_i : i \in I\}$ be a collection of subspaces in the Krein space $\mathbb{K}$. Then $\mathbb{F}$ is said to be strictly disjoint sequence iff $\overline{\sum_{i\in I_+} W_i}\ [\perp]\ \overline{\sum_{i\in I_-} W_i}$.

We will now derive an useful result regarding $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frames for a Krein space $\mathbb{K}$. Our theorem guarantees that a Krein space is richly supplied with $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frames.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $(\mathbb{K}, [\cdot,\cdot], J)$ be a Krein space. Assume that M_1 and M_2 are definite subspaces of $\mathbb{K}$ respectively. Let $\{(X_i, u_i)\}_{i\in I_1}$ and $\{(Y_i, v_i)\}_{i\in I_2}$ are Parseval fusion frames for M_1 and M_2 respectively.Then $\{X_i\}_{i\in I_1} \cup \{Y_i\}_{i\in I_2}$ is a strictly disjoint sequence in $\mathbb{K}$ only if $\{(X_i, u_i)\}_{i\in I_1} \cup \{(Y_i, v_i)\}_{i\in I_2}$ is a $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$ for $\zeta\in[\sqrt{2}, 2)$.*

Proof. Without any loss of generality we assume that M_1 is positive J-definite. Hence it is intrinsically complete. Hence $(M_1, [\cdot,\cdot])$ is a Hilbert space. Given that $\{(X_i, u_i) : i \in I_1\}$ is a Parseval fusion frame for $(M_1, [\cdot,\cdot])$. Therefore, $\overline{\sum_{i\in I_+} X_i} = M_1$ and also $X_i \in \mathbb{P}^+$.

Now since $\{X_i\} \cup \{Y_i\}$ is a strictly disjoint sequence in $\mathbb{K}$, so $\overline{\sum_{i\in I_1} X_i}\ [\perp]\ \overline{\sum_{i\in I_2} Y_i} = \{0\}$. Hence $\overline{\sum_{i\in I_2} Y_i}$ is a closed negative subspace of $\mathbb{K}$. Now $\{(Y_i, v_i) : i \in I_2\}$ is a Parseval fusion frame for M_2. Therefore, $\overline{\sum_{i\in I_2} Y_i} = M_2$. Hence M_2 is a negative J-definite subspace of $\mathbb{K}$. Since $M_1\ [\perp]\ M_2$, so we have a fundamental decomposition of $\mathbb{K}$ *i.e.* $\mathbb{K} = M_1\ [\dot{+}]\ M_2$ (see [37]). Since both M_1 and M_2 is closed J-definite and also intrinsically complete, hence both M_1 and M_2 are uniformly J-definite (see [28]). Let $\zeta = c_0(M_+, \mathtt{C}) + c_0(M_-, \mathtt{C})$, then $\{(X_i, u_i)\}_{i\in I_1} \cup \{(Y_i, v_i)\}_{i\in I_2}$ is a $\zeta - J$-fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$. As both $\{(X_i, u_i)\}_{i\in I_1}$ and $\{(Y_i, v_i)\}_{i\in I_2}$ are Parseval fusion frames for M_1 and M_2 respectively, so $\{(X_i, u_i)\}_{i\in I_1} \cup \{(Y_i, v_i)\}_{i\in I_2}$ is also a $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$. □

Remark 3.9. The statement of the above theorem is sufficient but not necessary. Since let $\{(X_i, u_i)\}_{i\in I_1} \cup \{(Y_i, v_i)\}_{i\in I_2}$ is a $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$ for $\zeta\in[\sqrt{2}, 2)$, then there exists uniformly J-positive definite subspace M_+ and uniformly J-negative subspace M_- such that $\{(X_i, u_i)\}_{i\in I_1}$ is a Parseval fusion frame for $(M_+, [\cdot,\cdot])$ and $\{(Y_i, v_i)\}_{i\in I_2}$ is a Parseval fusion frame for the Hilbert space $(M_-, -[\cdot,\cdot])$. But M_+ may not be perpendicular to M_-. Hence $\{X_i\}_{i\in I_1} \cup \{Y_i\}_{i\in I_2}$ may not be a strictly disjoint sequence in $\mathbb{K}$.

The following result for $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frames describes how $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frames can be combined to form a new $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frame under some restrictions.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\{(X_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ and $\{(Y_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ are $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frames for $\mathbb{K}$ such that $M_+ = \overline{\sum_{i\in I_+} Y_i} = \overline{\sum_{i\in I_+} X_i}$ and $M_- = \overline{\sum_{i\in I_-} Y_i} = \overline{\sum_{i\in I_-} X_i}$. Also let $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ and $\{Y_i : i \in I\}$ are strictly disjoint sequence in $\mathbb{K}$. Then $\{(X_i + Y_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ is a $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$ iff ${T^*_{X,v}}^+ {T_{Y,v}}^+ + {T^*_{Y,v}}^+ {T_{X,v}}^+ = 0$ and ${T^*_{X,v}}^- {T_{Y,v}}^- + {T^*_{Y,v}}^- {T_{X,v}}^- = 0$, where ${T_{X,v}}^+$ and ${T_{Y,v}}^+$ are synthesis operators of $\{(X_i, v_i) : i \in I_+\}$ and $\{(Y_i, v_i) : i \in I_+\}$ respectively and ${T_{X,v}}^-$ and ${T_{Y,v}}^-$ are synthesis operators of $\{(X_i, v_i) : i \in I_-\}$ and $\{(Y_i, v_i) : i \in I_-\}$ respectively.*

Proof. Since $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ and $\{Y_i : i \in I\}$ are strictly disjoint sequence in $\mathbb{K}$ hence $X_i + Y_i$ are closed subspaces for each $i \in I$. Let $\{(X_i + Y_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ be a $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$. Also it is given that $\{(X_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ and $\{(Y_i, v_i : i \in I\}$ are $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frames for $\mathbb{K}$. Hence $X_i \subset M_+$ for all $i \in I_+$. Similarly $Y_i \subset M_+$ for all $i \in I_+$. According to our assumption for each $i \in I_+$, X_i is uniformly J-positive subspace of $\mathbb{K}$. Hence regular. Since $X_i + Y_i$ is a closed subspace of M_+. Hence it is also regular. Similarly we can say that for each $i \in I_-$, $X_i + Y_i$ is also regular.

Since $\{(X_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ is a $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$, therefore $\{(X_i, v_i) : i \in I_+\}$ is a Parseval fusion frame for $(M_+, [\cdot, \cdot])$. Let ${T_{X,v}}^+$ be the synthesis operator of $\{(X_i, v_i) : i \in I_+\}$ for the space $(M_+, [\cdot, \cdot])$. Then the synthesis operator ${T_{X,v}}^+$ is defined by ${T_{X,v}}^+(\{f_i\}) = \sum_{i\in I_+} v_i f_i$, where $f_i \in X_i$ and ${T^*_{X,v}}^+$, the analysis operator is defined by ${T^*_{X,v}}^+(f) = \{v_i \pi_{W_i}|_{M_+}(f)\}_{i\in I_+}$.

Similarly $\{(Y_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ is also a $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$. Hence $\{(Y_i, v_i) : i \in I_+\}$ is a Parseval frame for $(M_+, [\cdot, \cdot])$. So, ${T_{Y,v}}^+$ and ${T^*_{Y,v}}^+$ are defined as above. Also $\{(X_i, v_i) : i \in I_-\}$ and $\{(Y_i, v_i) : i \in I_-\}$ are Parseval frames for $(M_-, -[\cdot, \cdot])$, so we can define the operators *viz.* ${T_{X,v}}^-$, ${T^*_{X,v}}^-$, ${T_{Y,v}}^-$ and ${T^*_{Y,v}}^-$ as above.

Now $\{(X_i + Y_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ is a $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$. So $\{(X_i + Y_i, v_i) : i \in I_+\}$ is a Parseval fusion frame for $(M_+, [\cdot, \cdot])$. Similarly $\{(X_i + Y_i, v_i) : i \in I_-\}$ is a Parseval fusion frame for $(M_-, -[\cdot, \cdot])$. Let ${T_{X+Y,v}}^+$ be the synthesis operator for the fusion frame $\{(X_i + Y_i, v_i) : i \in I_+\}$ in $(M_+, [\cdot, \cdot])$ and ${T_{X+Y,v}}^-$ be the synthesis operator for the frame $\{(X_i + Y_i, v_i) : i \in I_-\}$ in $(M_-, -[\cdot, \cdot])$. Also let us assume that ${T^*_{X+Y,v}}^+$ and ${T^*_{X+Y,v}}^-$ be the adjoint operators of ${T_{X+Y,v}}^+$ and ${T_{X+Y,v}}^-$ respectively. Now let us define ${\mathfrak{T}_{X+Y,v}}^+ := {T_{X,v}}^+ + {T_{Y,v}}^+$. Then we have ${\mathfrak{T}^*_{X+Y,v}}^+ = {T^*_{X,v}}^+ + {T^*_{Y,v}}^+$. A direct calculation shows that ${\mathfrak{T}_{X+Y,v}}^+ = {T_{X+Y,v}}^+$ is the synthesis operator for the frame $\{(X_i + Y_i, v_i) : i \in I_+\}$ in $(M_+, [\cdot, \cdot])$.
Hence ${\mathfrak{T}^*_{X+Y,v}}^+ {\mathfrak{T}_{X+Y,v}}^+ = I$. Therefore, ${T^*_{X,v}}^+ {T_{Y,v}}^+ + {T^*_{Y,v}}^+ {T_{X,v}}^+ = 0$.
Similarly we can show that ${T^*_{X,v}}^- {T_{Y,v}}^- + {T^*_{Y,v}}^- {T_{X,v}}^- = 0$.

Conversely we have to show that $\{(X_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ is a $\zeta - J$-Parseval fusion frame for $\mathbb{K}$. Since $\overline{\sum_{i\in I_+}(X_i + Y_i)} = M_+$. Hence at first we will show that $\{(X_i, v_i) : i \in I_+\}$ is a Parseval fusion frame for $(M_+, [\cdot, \cdot])$. Let ${\mathfrak{T}_{X+Y,v}}^+$

be the synthesis operator for the Bessel family $\{(X_i, v_i) : i \in I_+\}$. Then $\mathfrak{T}_{X+Y,v}{}^+(\{h_i\}) = \sum_{i\in I_+} v_i h_i = \sum_{i\in I_+} v_i(f_i + g_i) = T_{X,v}{}^+ + T_{Y,v}{}^+$. Now it is easy to show that the condition $T^*_{X,v}{}^+ T_{Y,v}{}^+ + T^*_{Y,v}{}^+ T_{X,v}{}^+ = 0$ implies that $\{(X_i, v_i) : i \in I_+\}$ is a Parseval fusion frame for M_+. By similar arguments as above we can show that $\{(X_i, v_i) : i \in I_-\}$ is a Parseval fusion frame for M_-. So we only need to find $\zeta \in [\sqrt{2}, 2)$ such that $\zeta = c_0(M_+, \mathtt{C}) + c_0(M_-, \mathtt{C})$. Now since the quantities $c_0(M_+, \mathtt{C})$ and $c_0(M_-, \mathtt{C})$ are fixed throughout and $\{(X_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ is a given $\zeta - J$-Parseval frame, so we already have ζ which satisfies the above equation.
Hence the proof. □

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\{(W_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$ be J-fusion frame for the Krein space $\mathbb{K}$ with cannonical J-dual fusion frame $\{(S^{-1}_{W,v}(W_i), v_i) : i \in I\}$. Then $\forall\ I_1 \subset I$ and $\forall\ f \in \mathbb{K}$ we have $\sum_{i\in I_1} \sigma_i v_i^2[\pi_{W_i} Jf, Jf] - \sum_{i\in I} \sigma_i v_i^2[\pi_{S^{-1}_{W,v}(W_i)} JS^{I_1}_{W,v}, JS^{I_1}_{W,v}]$ $= \sum_{i\in I_1^c} \sigma_i v_i^2[\pi_{W_i} Jf, Jf] - \sum_{i\in I} \sigma_i v_i^2[\pi_{S^{-1}_{W,v}(W_i)} JS^{I_1^c}_{W,v}, JS^{I_1^c}_{W,v}]$, where $\sigma_i = 1$ if $i \in I_+$ and $\sigma_i = -1$ if $i \in I_-$.*

Proof. Let $S_{W,v}$ denote the frame operator for $\{(W_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$. Then we have $S_{W,v}(f) = \sum_{i\in I} \sigma_i v_i^2 \pi_{J(W_i)}(f)$. Also $S_{W,v} = S^{I_1}_{W,v} + S^{I_1^c}_{W,v}$. Then $I = S^{-1}_{W,v} S^{I_1}_{W,v} + S^{-1}_{W,v} S^{I_1^c}_{W,v}$. From operator theory we have $S^{-1}_{W,v} S^{I_1}_{W,v} - S^{-1}_{W,v} S^{I_1^c}_{W,v} = S^{-1}_{W,v} S^{I_1}_{W,v} S^{-1}_{W,v} S^{I_1}_{W,v} - S^{-1}_{W,v} S^{I_1^c}_{W,v} S^{-1}_{W,v} S^{I_1^c}_{W,v}$. Then for every $f, g \in \mathbb{K}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}[S^{-1}_{W,v} S^{I_1}_{W,v}(f), g] - [S^{-1}_{W,v} S^{I_1}_{W,v} S^{-1}_{W,v} S^{I_1}_{W,v}(f), g] \\ = [S^{I_1}_{W,v}(f), S^{-1}_{W,v} g] - [S^{-1}_{W,v} S^{I_1}_{W,v}(f), S^{I_1}_{W,v} S^{-1}_{W,v} g]\end{aligned}$$

Now if we choose $g = S_{W,v}(f)$, then the above equation reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}= [S^{I_1}_{W,v}(f), f] - [S^{-1}_{W,v} S^{I_1}_{W,v}(f), S^{I_1}_{W,v}(f)] \\ = \sum_{i\in I_1} \sigma_i v_i^2[\pi_{W_i} Jf, Jf] - \sum_{i\in I} \sigma_i v_i^2[\pi_{S^{-1}_{W,v}(W_i)} JS^{I_1}_{W,v}, JS^{I_1}_{W,v}]\end{aligned}$$

Now replacing I_1 by I_1^c we can have the other part of the equality. Combining we finally get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i\in I_1} \sigma_i v_i^2[\pi_{W_i} Jf, Jf] - \sum_{i\in I} \sigma_i v_i^2[\pi_{S^{-1}_{W,v}(W_i)} JS^{I_1}_{W,v}, JS^{I_1}_{W,v}] \\ = \sum_{i\in I_1^c} \sigma_i v_i^2[\pi_{W_i} Jf, Jf] - \sum_{i\in I} \sigma_i v_i^2[\pi_{S^{-1}_{W,v}(W_i)} JS^{I_1^c}_{W,v}, JS^{I_1^c}_{W,v}]\end{aligned}$$

□

We need the following beautiful theorem for our further study.

Theorem 3.12. *[33] Let S and T be bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent*
(i) $R(S) \subset R(T)$
(ii) there exists $\lambda \geq 0$ *such that* $SS^* \leq \lambda^2 TT^*$
(iii) there exists a closed linear operator S_1 *such that* $SS_1 = T$

Now we will characterize uniformly J-definite subspaces of a Krein space $\mathbb{K}$ in terms of an inequality regarding J-fusion frames.

Let M be a non-negative subspace of a Krein space $\mathbb{K}$, then $M = M^0[\dot{+}]M^+$ where M^+ is the positive part of M and M^0 is the isotropic part of M, precisely $M^+ = M \ominus M^0$, where $M \ominus M^0 = M \cap (M \cap M^0)^\perp$. Let G_M be the Gramian operator of M then $N(G_M) = M^0$ and $R(G_M) = M^+$. So we have $G_M = G_{M^+}$. The decomposition of M is guaranteed by the spectral decomposition of the Gramian operator.

Theorem 3.13. *Let $\mathbb{F} = \{(W_i, v_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a Bessel family in a Krein space $\mathbb{K}$, also let $M = \overline{\sum W_i : i \in I}$ and $M^0 = M \cap M^{[\perp]}$. If there exist constants $0 < A \leq B$ such that*

$$A[f,f] \leq \sum_{i\in I} v_i^2 |[\pi_{JM}\pi_{W_i} J\pi_M(f), f]| \leq B[f,f] \text{ for every } f \in M, \qquad (3.2)$$

then the deficiency subspace $M \ominus M^0$ is a (closed) uniformly J-positive subspace of M. Moreover, if $\mathbb{F}$ is a frame for the Hilbert space $(M, [\cdot,\cdot]_J)$, the converse holds.

Proof. First, let us assume that the equation (3.2) holds. Then $[f,f] \geq 0$ for all $f \in M$. So, M is a J-nonnegative subspace of $\mathbb{K}$, or equivalently, $(M, [\cdot,\cdot])$ is a non-negative inner product space.

Now given that $\mathbb{F} = \{(W_i, v_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a Bessel family in $\mathbb{K}$. So it is a Bessel family in the associated Hilbert space $(\mathbb{K}, [\cdot,\cdot]_J)$. Then $T^*_{W,v}(f) = \{v_i \pi_{W_i}(f)\}_{i\in I},\ f \in \mathbb{K}$. Now for all $f \in M$,

$$\sum_{i\in I} v_i^2 [\pi_{W_i}, f]_J \leq C[f,f]_J$$

where $C = \|T^*_{W,v}\|_J^2 > 0$, then $\sum_{i\in I} v_i^2 \pi_{W_i} \leq C\pi_M$ since $\pi_M \pi_{W_i} = \pi_{W_i}\pi_M = \pi_{W_i}$. So, using equation (3.2) it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} A[G_M f, f]_J &\leq \sum_{i\in I} v_i^2 |[\pi_{JM}\pi_{W_i} J\pi_M(f), f]| = \sum_{i\in I} v_i^2 |[\pi_M \pi_{JW_i}\pi_M(f), f]_J| \\ &= \sum_{i\in I} v_i^2 |[\pi_M J\pi_{W_i} J\pi_M(f), f]_J| = |[\pi_M J\{\sum_{i\in I} v_i^2 \pi_{W_i}\} J\pi_M(f), f]_J| \\ &\leq C[(G_M)^2 f, f]_J,\ f \in \mathbb{K}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $0 \leq G_M \leq \frac{C}{A}(G_M)^2$. So applying Douglas theorem [33] we have

$$R((G_M)^{1/2}) \subseteq R(G_M)$$

Also we have $R(G_M) \subseteq R((G_M)^{1/2})$. Moreover, it follows that $R(G_M)$ is closed since $R(G_M) = R((G_M)^{1/2})$.

Let $M' = M \ominus M^0$. Since $R(G_M)$ is closed, so by reduced minimum modulus theorem there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$[f, f] = [G_M f, f]_J = \|(G_M)^{1/2} f\|_J^2 \geq \gamma \|f\|_J^2 \text{ for every } f \in N(G_M)^\perp = M \ominus M^0.$$

Hence M' is a closed uniformly J-positive subspace of $\mathbb{K}$.

Conversely, suppose that W is a frame for $(M, [\cdot, \cdot]_J)$, i.e. there exist constants $B' \geq A' > 0$ such that

$$A' P_M \leq \sum_{i \in I} v_i^2 \pi_{W_i} \leq B' P_M,$$

$$A' P_M \leq T_{W,v} T_{W,v}^* \leq B' P_M,$$

where $T_{W,v} \in L\big((\sum_{i \in I} \oplus W_i)_{\ell_2}, \mathbb{K}\big)$ is the synthesis operator of W. If $M' = M \ominus M^0$ is a uniformly J-positive subspace of $\mathbb{K}$, then there exists some real number $\alpha > 0$ such that $\alpha P_{M'} \leq G_{M'} \leq P_{M'}$. Then by Douglas theorem, $R(P_{M'}) \subseteq R((G_{M'})^{1/2}) \subseteq R(P_{M'})$. So we have $R({G_{M'}}^{\frac{1}{2}}) = M' = R(G_{M'})$. Since $G_M = G_{M'}$ it is easy to see that

$$A'(G_M)^2 = A'(G_{M'})^2 \leq P_M J T_{W,v} T_{W,v}^* J P_M \leq B'(G_{M'})^2 = B'(G_M)^2.$$

Therefore again using Douglas theorem we have $R(P_M J T_{W,v}) = R(G_{M'}) = R((G_{M'})^{1/2})$ or equivalently, there exist $B \geq A > 0$ such that

$$A G_M = A G_{M'} \leq P_M J T_{W,v} T_{W,v}^* J P_M \leq B G_{M'} = B G_M$$

So from above we have

$$A[f, f] \leq [\pi_M J \{\sum_{i \in I} v_i^2 \pi_{W_i}\} J \pi_M(f), f]_J \leq B[f, f] \text{ for every } f \in M$$

$$i.e. \;\; A[f, f] \leq \sum_{i \in I} v_i^2 |[\pi_M \pi_{JW_i} \pi_M(f), f]_J| \leq B[f, f]$$

$$i.e. \;\; A[f, f] \leq \sum_{i \in I} v_i^2 |[\pi_{JM} \pi_{W_i} J \pi_M(f), f]| \leq B[f, f] \text{ for every } f \in M$$

□

Acknowledgements. Shibashis Karmakar, Sk. Monowar Hossein and Kallol Paul gratefully acknowledge the support of Jadavpur University, Kolkata and Aliah University, Kolkata for providing all the facilities when the manuscript was prepared. Shibashis Karmakar also acknowledges the financial support of CSIR, Govt. of India.

References

[1] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, *A Class of Nonharmonic Fourier Series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (1952), no.2, 341–366, doi:10.2307/1990760.

[2] I. Daubechies, A. Grossmann and Y. Meyer, *Painless nonorthogonal expansions*, J. Math. Phys., **27** (1986), 1271–1283, doi:10.1063/1.527388.

[3] P. G. Casazza and G. Kutyniok, *Frames of Subspaces*, Wavelets, Frames and Operator Theory (College Park, MD, 2003), 87–113, Contemp. Math. **345**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[4] M. S. Asgari and A. Khosravi, *Frames and bases of subspaces in Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **308(2)** (2005), 541–553, doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2004.11.036.

[5] R. Calderbank, P. G. Casazza, A. Heinecke and G. Kutyniok, *Sparse Fusion Frames: Existence and Construction*, Adv. Comput. Math., **35(1)** (2011), 1–31, doi:10.1007/s10444-010-9162-3.

[6] A. Chebira, M. Fickus and D. G. Mixon, *Filter bank fusion frames*, IEEE Trans. Signal Process., **59(3)** (2011), 953–963, doi:10.1109/TSP.2010.2097255.

[7] P. Boufounos, G. Kutyniok and H. Rauhut, *Sparse Recovery from Combined Fusion Frame Measurements*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **57(6)** (2011), 3864–3876, doi:10.1109/TIT.2011.2143890.

[8] P. G. Casazza, M. Fickus, D. Mixon, Y. Wang and Z. Zhou, *Constructing tight fusion frames*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., **30(2)** (2011), 175–187, doi:10.1016/j.acha.2010.05.002.

[9] P. Massey, M. Ruiz and D. Stojanoff, *The structure of minimizers of the frame potential on fusion frames* J. Fourier Anal. Appl., **16(4)** (2010), 514–543, doi:10.1007/s00041-009-9098-5.

[10] P. G. Casazza and M. Fickus, *Minimizing fusion frame potential*, Acta Appl. Math., **107(1)**, (2009), 7–24. doi:10.1007/s10440-008-9377-1.

[11] P. G. Casazza, M. Fickus, D. G. Mixon, Y. Wang and Z. Zhou, *Constructions and existence of tight fusion frames*, PWavelets XIII (San Diego, CA, 2009), 744613-1 – 744613-10, SPIE Proc. **7446**, SPIE, Bellingham, WA, 2009.

[12] P. Boufounos, G. Kutyniok, H. Rauhut, *Compressed Sensing for Fusion Frames*, Wavelets XIII (San Diego, CA, 2009), 744614-1 – 744614-11, SPIE Proc. **7446**, SPIE, Bellingham, WA, 2009.

[13] B. G. Bodmann and G. Kutyniok, *Erasure-Proof Transmissions: Fusion Frames meet Coding Theory*, Wavelets XIII (San Diego, CA, 2009), 744614-1 – 744614-11, SPIE Proc. **7446**, SPIE, Bellingham, WA, 2009, doi:10.1117/12.826282.

[14] G. Kutyniok, A. Pezeshki, R. Calderbank and T. Liu, *Robust Dimension Reduction, Fusion Frames, and Grassmannian Packings*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., **26(1)**, (2009), 64–76, doi:10.1016/j.acha.2008.03.001.

[15] P. G. Casazza, G. Kutyniok and S. Li, *Fusion Frames and Distributed Processing*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., **25(1)**, (2008), 114–132, doi:10.1016/j.acha.2007.10.001.

[16] A. Khosravi and K. Musazadeh, *Fusion frames and g-frames*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **342(2)**, (2008), 1068–1083, doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.01.002.

[17] A. Pezeshki, G. Kutyniok, A. R. Calderbank, *Fusion frames and Robust Dimension Reduction*, 42nd Annual Conference on Information Sciences and Systems (CISS) (Princeton University, NJ, 2008).

[18] M. A. Ruiz and D. Stojanoff, *Some properties of frames of subspaces obtained by operator theory methods*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **343(1)**, (2008), 366–378, doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.01.062.

[19] P. G. Casazza, G. Kutyniok, S. Li and C. J. Rozell, *Modeling Sensor Networks with Fusion Frames*, Wavelets XII (San Diego, CA, 2007), 67011M-1 – 67011M-11, SPIE Proc. **6701**, SPIE, Bellingham, WA, 2007.

[20] P. Gavruta, *On the duality of fusion frames*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **333(2)**, (2007), 871–879, doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.11.052.

[21] M. Fornasier, *Quasi-orthogonal decompositions of structured frames*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **289(1)**, (2004), 180–199, doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2003.09.041.

[22] A. Khosravi, B. Khosravi, *Fusion frames and g-frames in Banach spaces*, Proc Math Sci , **121(2)**, (2011), 155–164, doi:10.1007/s12044-011-0020-0.

[23] A. Khosravi, B. Khosravi, *Fusion frames and g-frames in Hilbert $\mathbb{C}^*$-modules*, Int. J. Wavelet, Multi Resol. and Inform. Processing **6**, (2008), 433–446.

[24] W. Sun, *G-frames and G-Riesz Bases*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **322(1)**, (2006), 437–452, doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.09.039.

[25] P.G. Casazza, M.C. Fickus, J. Kovacevic, M.T. Leon and J.C. Tremain, *Physical Laws Governing Finite Tight Frames*, Proceedings of SPIE, wavelets: Applications in signal and image processing X, **5207** (2003), 371–380.

[26] P.G. Casazza, M. Fickus, J. Kovacevic, M. Leon and J.C. Tremain, *A Physical Interpretation for Finite Tight Frames*, Harmonic Analysis and Applications (In Honor of John Benedetto), C. Heil (2006), 71–78.

[27] P.G. Casazza and M. Fickus, *Gradient descent of the frame potential*, Proc. Sampl. Theory Appl., (2009).

[28] J. Bognar, *Indefinite inner product spaces*, Springer Berlin, 1974.

[29] J. I. Giribet, A. Maestripieri, F. Martnez Pería, P. G. Massey, *On frames for Krein spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **393(1)** (2012), 122–137, doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2012.03.040.

[30] K. Esmeral, O. Ferrer, E. Wagner, *Frames in Krein spaces arising from a non-regular W-metric*, Banach J. Math. Anal., **9**, (2015), no.1, 1–16.

[31] P. Acosta-Humnez, K. Esmeral, O. Ferrer, *Frames of subspaces in Hilbert spaces with W-metrics*, VERSITA, **23(2)**, (2015), 5–22, doi:10.1515/auom-2015-0021.

[32] S. K. Kaushik, Ghanshyam Singh, Virender, *A Note on Frame Sequences in Hilbert Spaces*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, **3** (2008), no.16, 791–799.

[33] R. G. Douglas, *On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators in Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **17** (1966), 413–416.

[34] John Benedetto, Matthew Fickus, *Finite Normalized Tight Frames*, Advances in Computational Mathematics (2–4), **18** (2003), 357–385.

[35] S. S. Iyengar, R. R. Brooks, eds, *Distributed sensor networks*, Chapman Hall/CRC, Baton Rouge, 2005.

[36] Deguang Han, Keri Kornelson, David Larson, Eric Weber, *Frames for Undergraduates*, Student Mathematical Library, AMS **40** .

[37] I. S. Iokhvidov, T. Ya. Azizov, *Linear operators in spaces with an indefinite metric*, John Wiley & sons. (1989).

[38] Sk. M. Hossein, S. Karmakar, K. Paul, *Tight J-frames in Krein space and the Associated J-frame potential*, Int. J. Math. Anal., **20** (2016), no.19, 917–931, doi:10.12988/ijma.2016.6355.

[39] S. Karmakar, *J-fusion frame for Krein spaces*, arXiv:1611.01339 [math.FA].